United Nations A/C.2/65/SR.30

General Assembly
Sixty-fifth session

Official Records

Distr.: General
11 January 2011

Original: English

Second Committee

Summary record of the 30th meeting
Held at Headquarters, New York, on Monday, 22 November 2010, at 3 p.m.

Chairperson: Ms. Ochir ..... (Mongolia)

Contents

Agenda item 18: Macroeconomic policy questions (*continued*)

(b) International financial system and development (*continued*)

Agenda item 20: Sustainable development (*continued*)

(b) Follow-up to and implementation of the Mauritius Strategy for the Further Implementation of the Programme of Action for the Sustainable Development of Small Island Developing States (*continued*)

Agenda item 23: Groups of countries in special situations (*continued*)

(b) Specific actions related to the particular needs and problems of landlocked developing countries: outcome of the International Ministerial Conference of Landlocked and Transit Developing Countries and Donor Countries and International Financial and Development Institutions on Transit Transport Cooperation (*continued*)

This record is subject to correction. Corrections should be sent under the signature of a member of the delegation concerned *within one week of the date of publication* to the Chief of the Official Records Editing Section, room DC2-750, 2 United Nations Plaza, and incorporated in a copy of the record.

Corrections will be issued after the end of the session, in a separate corrigendum for each Committee.

10-64599 (E)

Please recycle

The meeting was called to order at 3.20 p.m.

Agenda item 18: Macroeconomic policy questions (*continued*)

(b) International financial system and development (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.3 and L.48)

Draft resolutions on the international financial system and development (A/C.2/65/L.3 and L.48)

1. **The Chairperson** drew attention to draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.48, which was being submitted by Mr. Lundberg (Finland), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations held on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.3. The draft resolution has no programme budget implications.

2. **Mr. Lundberg** (Finland), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, said that the word "recommendations" should be replaced with the word "report" in the fifteenth preambular paragraph. He added a number of other minor editorial changes.

3. **Ms. Nemroff** (United States of America), speaking in explanation of position, said that her delegation was pleased to join the consensus on the draft resolution, which underscored both the challenges posed by the global economic crisis and the progress made by the international community to mitigate its impact. Her Government supported closer consultation between the United Nations system and other international organizations, including the Bretton Woods institutions, in order to advance the core development mandate of the United Nations. At the same time, such dialogue must respect the differing mandates and responsibilities of each entity. Her Government did not interpret the draft resolution as endorsing a formal role for the United Nations in decisions affecting the international financial institutions or architecture. The United Nations was not the most appropriate forum at which to discuss reform of the international monetary system. Future resolutions should therefore refer in a more explicit and constructive manner to the important work of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Group of Twenty (G-20).

4. At the G-20 Summit held in London in April 2009, world leaders had supported a general allocation of special drawing rights worth $250 billion to help stop a serious capital drain and contagion risk facing emerging markets and developing countries. That action had been an exceptional measure. The role of special drawing rights raised complex considerations, and had met with very little consensus. Decisions in that regard were within the sole purview of IMF. Regional reserve currency arrangements should be judged according to whether they contributed to regional and global stability and promoted adjustment when necessary.

5. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.48, as orally corrected, was adopted.*

6. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.3 was withdrawn.*

Agenda item 20: Sustainable development (*continued*)

(b) Follow-up to and implementation of the Mauritius Strategy for the Further Implementation of the Programme of Action for the Sustainable Development of Small Island Developing States (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.26 and L.46)

Draft resolutions entitled "Towards the sustainable development of the Caribbean Sea for present and future generations" (A/C.2/65/L.26 and L.46)

7. **The Chairperson** drew attention to draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.46, which was being submitted by Ms. Würtz (Hungary), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations held on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.26. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications.

8. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.46 was adopted.*

9. **Ms. Kızıltan** (Turkey) said that her delegation had joined the consensus because the text addressed important issues. Her delegation fully supported the efforts of the States members of the Association of Caribbean States and other regional initiatives to ensure the sustainable conservation and management of resources. However, it wished to dissociate itself from references to international instruments to which Turkey was not a party. The draft resolution did not constitute a change in her country's position with regard to such instruments.

10. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.26 was withdrawn.*

Agenda item 23: Groups of countries in special situations (*continued*)

(b) Specific actions related to the particular needs and problems of landlocked developing countries: outcome of the International Ministerial Conference of Landlocked and Transit Developing Countries and Donor Countries and International Financial and Development Institutions on Transit Transport Cooperation (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.8 and L.47)

Draft resolutions on specific actions related to the particular needs and problems of landlocked developing countries: outcome of the International Ministerial Conference of Landlocked and Transit Developing Countries and Donor Countries and International Financial and Development Institutions on Transit Transport Cooperation (A/C.2/65/L.8 and L.47)

11. **The Chairperson** drew attention to draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.47, which was being submitted by Mr. Empole (Democratic Republic of the Congo), Rapporteur of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations held on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.8. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications.

12. **Mr. Empole** (Democratic Republic of the Congo), Rapporteur of the Committee, read out some minor drafting changes.

13. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.47, as orally corrected, was adopted.*

14. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.8 was withdrawn.*

The meeting rose at 3.40 p.m.

United Nations A/C.2/65/SR.31

General Assembly
Sixty-fifth session

Official Records

Distr.: General
17 January 2011

Original: English

Second Committee

Summary record of the 31st meeting
Held at Headquarters, New York, on Wednesday, 24 November 2010, at 11 a.m.

Chairperson: Ms. Ochir ..... (Mongolia)

Contents

Agenda item 17: Information and communications technologies for development (*continued*)

Agenda item 20: Sustainable development (*continued*)

(c) International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (*continued*)

(d) Protection of global climate for present and future generations of humankind (*continued*)

Agenda item 22: Globalization and interdependence (*continued*)

Agenda item 23: Groups of countries in special situations (*continued*)

(a) Fourth United Nations Conference on the Least Developed Countries (*continued*)

This record is subject to correction. Corrections should be sent under the signature of a member of the delegation concerned *within one week of the date of publication* to the Chief of the Official Records Editing Section, room DC2-750, 2 United Nations Plaza, and incorporated in a copy of the record.

Corrections will be issued after the end of the session, in a separate corrigendum for each Committee.

10-65116 (E)

Please recycle

The meeting was called to order at 11.25 a.m.

Agenda item 17: Information and communications technologies for development (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.22 and L.56)

Draft resolutions on information and communications technologies for development (A/C.2/65/L.22 and L.56)

1. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.56, submitted by Mr. Pierre (Haiti), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations held on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.22. She took it that the Committee was prepared to waive the 24-hour provision under rule 120 of the rules of procedure. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications.

2. **Mr. Glucksman** (United States of America), speaking in explanation of position, said that the draft resolution highlighted the essential development role of information and communications technology (ICT) and recognized the important contribution of the Internet Governance Forum by renewing its mandate. Given the broad recognition of the Forum's value by the Secretary-General and the respondents to his survey, it was regrettable that the consensus reached on the draft resolution did not better reflect the improvements made to the Forum's working methods and the year-to-year increase in participation in the Forum by developing countries under its present leadership.

3. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.56 was adopted.*

4. **Mr. de Bassompierre** (Belgium), speaking on behalf of the European Union; the candidate country Croatia; the stabilization and association process countries Albania, Montenegro and Serbia; and, in addition, Georgia, the Republic of Moldova and Ukraine, welcomed the reaffirmation of support for the Internet Governance Forum and its non-binding, multi-stakeholder character through the renewal of its mandate. He also welcomed the consultation meeting of the working group of the Chair of the Commission on Science and Technology for Development being held that day in Geneva on improvements to the working methods of the Forum.

5. However, the draft resolution just adopted insufficiently highlighted the positive assessment made by the vast majority of Member States concerning the Forum's functioning and evolution since its creation. The Forum had fully met the requirements of the Tunis Agenda for the Information Society and the high level of participation in the Forum demonstrated that it provided a valuable platform for dialogue and the sharing of best practices. The European Union particularly welcomed the increasing participation from developing countries in the Forum and also encouraged greater collaboration between the Forum and national and regional Forums. The dramatic increase in remote participation testified to a creative use of ICT to ensure participation from a broad range of stakeholders. The Forum had demonstrated its capacity for self-improvement and adaptation and should remain flexible to enable it to respond to new developments.

6. **Mr. Delisle** (Canada), speaking on behalf of Canada, Australia and New Zealand (CANZ), said that the decision to renew the Forum's mandate reflected the overwhelming support expressed for it during formal consultations in Sharm el-Sheikh and in the note by the Secretary-General (A/65/78). Recalling that the draft resolution contained language on the need for improvements to the Forum, he stressed that the vast majority of respondents supported the continuation of the Forum without any substantial change to its format and working methods. Nonetheless, CANZ looked forward to participating in the Commission on Science and Technology for Development working group to discuss any possible improvements to the Forum.

7. It was disappointing that the draft resolution had not welcomed the proactive work of the Forum to improve its own working methods since its establishment. CANZ was encouraged that the Forum had taken up several of the suggestions made during the Sharm el-Sheikh consultations and had noted the dramatic increase in remote participation and the inclusion of development issues in the Forum's agenda. The emergence of many regional and national Forums since 2005 had also reinforced the success of the Forum's multi-stakeholder model.

8. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.22 was withdrawn.*

Agenda item 20: Sustainable development (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.28/Rev.1 and L.35/Rev.1)

Draft resolution entitled "Protection of coral reefs for sustainable livelihoods and development" (A/C.2/65/L.28/Rev.1)

9. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.28/Rev.1, submitted by Australia on behalf of the sponsors listed

in the document. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications. She noted that the draft resolution had previously been issued in error under the symbol A/C.2/65/L.49. Document A/C.2/65/L.49 had therefore been withdrawn, as indicated in document A/C.2/65/L.49/Corr.1.

10. **Mr. Goledzinowski** (Australia), noting that the draft resolution had received broad support, including from States that had no coral reef systems or were landlocked, announced that the following countries wished to become its sponsors: Antigua and Barbuda, Austria, Barbados, Belgium, Bulgaria, Côte d'Ivoire, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Germany, Greece, Hungary, India, Ireland, Italy, Jamaica, Latvia, the Maldives, Malta, Mexico, Montenegro, the Netherlands, the Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Slovakia, Sweden, Thailand, Tonga and Ukraine.

11. **Ms. de Laurentis** (Secretary of the Committee) said that the following countries also wished to join the list of sponsors: Belize, Croatia, Eritrea, Guinea-Bissau, Haiti, the Republic of Moldova, Tajikistan, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia and Viet Nam.

12. **Mr. Nayasi** (Fiji), speaking on behalf of the Pacific Small Island Developing States, namely the Federated States of Micronesia, the Marshall Islands, Nauru, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, the Solomon Islands, Tonga, Tuvalu, Vanuatu and his own country, Fiji, said that the document in question was a landmark resolution for those countries, as it integrated many of the challenges facing them. Pacific islanders were heavily dependent on coral reef ecosystems for their food security and livelihoods. Coral reefs also protected island shores from erosion and tsunamis and were an essential part of the islanders' cultural identity. The greatest threat to coral reefs was carbon dioxide pollution in the form of climate change and ocean acidification, with massive coral bleaching taking place that very year. The draft resolution was timely and highlighted the imperative for immediate action.

13. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.28/Rev.1 was adopted.*

14. **Ms. Kızıltan** (Turkey) said that the draft resolution addressed important issues with respect to the conservation and sustainable use of marine resources. While recognizing the vital importance of coral reefs and ecosystems for the sustainable livelihoods and development of small island States, Turkey wished however to disassociate itself from references contained in the draft resolution to international instruments to which it was not a party.

Draft resolution entitled "Global Code of Ethics for Tourism" (A/C.2/65/L.35/Rev.1)

15. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.35/Rev.1, which was being submitted by Honduras on behalf of the sponsors listed in the document. She took it that the Committee was prepared to waive the 24-hour provision under rule 120 of the rules of procedure. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications.

16. **Ms. Flores** (Honduras) said that the draft resolution was aimed at promoting sustainability in the tourism sector, biodiversity and natural disaster preparedness. She made two minor drafting changes to paragraph 6 of the Spanish language version. She also announced that Luxembourg, Monaco, Seychelles and Slovenia had joined the list of sponsors.

17. **Ms. de Laurentis** (Secretary of the Committee) said the Comoros, Fiji, Gabon, Lebanon and Serbia also wished to join as sponsors.

18. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.35/Rev.1, as orally corrected, was adopted.*

19. **Mr. Khan** (World Tourism Organization) said that his organization appreciated the Committee's support in promoting responsible tourism for sustainable development and expressed gratitude to the sponsors of the draft resolution.

(c) International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.34 and L.54)

Draft resolutions entitled "International cooperation to reduce the impact of the El Niño phenomenon" (A/C.2/65/L.34 and L.54)

20. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.54, submitted by Ms. Würtz (Hungary), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations held on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.34. She took it that the Committee was prepared to waive the 24-hour provision under rule 120 of the rules of procedure. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications.

21. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.54 was adopted.*

22. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.34 was withdrawn.*

(d) Protection of global climate for present and future generations of humankind (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.33 and L.51)

Draft resolutions on the protection of global climate for present and future generations of humankind (A/C.2/65/L.33 and L.51)

23. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.51, submitted by Ms. Würtz (Hungary), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations held on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.33. She took it that the Committee was prepared to waive the 24-hour provision under rule 120 of the rules of procedure. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications.

24. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.51 was adopted.*

25. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.33 was withdrawn.*

Agenda item 22: Globalization and interdependence (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.9 and L.50)

Draft resolutions on culture and development (A/C.2/65/L.9 and L.50)

26. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.50, submitted by Mr. Pierre (Haiti), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations held on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.9. The draft resolution contained no programme budget implications.

27. **Mr. Pierre** (Haiti), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, introduced some minor drafting changes to the text.

28. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.50, as orally corrected, was adopted.*

29. **Mr. Gutiérrez** (Peru) welcomed the adoption of the draft resolution, which advanced the discussion of culture and development within the United Nations in a number of significant ways.

30. Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.9 was withdrawn.

The meeting was suspended at 12.10 p.m. and resumed at 12.20 p.m.

Agenda item 23: Groups of countries in special situations (*continued*)

(a) Fourth United Nations Conference on the Least Developed Countries (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.5 and A/C.2/65/L.55)

Draft resolutions on the Fourth United Nations Conference on the Least Developed Countries (A/C.2/65/L.5 and A/C.2/65/L.55)

31. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.55, submitted by Mr. Empole (Democratic Republic of the Congo), Rapporteur of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations held on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.5. She took it that the Committee was prepared to waive the 24-hour provision under rule 120 of the rules of procedure.

32. **Ms. de Laurentis** (Secretary of the Committee), making an oral statement in accordance with rule 153 of the rules of procedure, said that the informal active hearings pursuant to paragraphs 11 and 12 of the draft resolution would require meetings and documentation services. It had been determined that the meetings services resources allotted to the General Assembly could be utilized, provided that the General Assembly itself and its working groups would not meet in parallel. It was expected that the costs of processing the documentation in-house would be absorbed. Accordingly, should the draft resolution be adopted, there would be no programme budget implications for the biennium 2010-2011.

33. She drew attention to the provisions of section VI of General Assembly resolution 45/248 B of 21 December 1990, in which the General Assembly had reaffirmed that the Fifth Committee was the appropriate Main Committee entrusted with responsibilities for administrative and budgetary matters and had reaffirmed also the role of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions. She also drew attention to paragraph 67 of the first report of the Advisory Committee on the proposed programme budget for the biennium 2000-2001 (A/54/7), in which the Advisory Committee had noted that the use of the phrase "within existing resources" or similar language in resolutions had a negative impact on the implementation of activities; therefore, efforts should be made to avoid the use of that phrase in resolutions and decisions.

34. **Ms. Kızıltan** (Turkey) made several editorial amendments to the text of the draft resolution. She added that, as the host country of the Fourth United Nations Conference on the Least Developed Countries, Turkey would continue to work closely with those countries, development partners and the United Nations family in the run-up to the Conference.

35. **Ms. Morizet** (France) made some editorial amendments to the French text of the draft resolution to bring it more closely into line with the English version.

36. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.55, as orally corrected, was adopted.*

37. **Mr. Sharma** (Nepal), speaking on behalf of the Group of Least Developed Countries, welcomed the adoption of the draft resolution by consensus, especially in view of the Fourth United Nations Conference on the Least Developed Countries to be held in 2011, which was being convened at the highest possible level. The Conference should be an important step forward in addressing the myriad development challenges of the least developed countries through an ambitious, comprehensive, forward-looking and results-oriented agenda for the forthcoming decade. Global commitments must be consolidated and a new agenda defined, with a clear framework for accountability and monitoring.

38. The least developed countries were looking forward to a strong new partnership for human and economic development, infrastructure and productive capacity development, including agriculture, as well as special measures to deal with multiple challenges. Robust international support measures must be scaled up in terms of official development assistance, trade, foreign direct investment and debt, and there needed to be continuous follow-up and monitoring.

39. The United Nations had an important role to play in mobilizing system-wide support for the preparation and organization of the Conference. In that regard, the least developed countries appreciated the efforts being made by the Office of the High Representative for the Least Developed Countries, Landlocked Developing Countries and Small Island Developing States and called for continued and enhanced support from all.

40. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.5 was withdrawn.*

The meeting rose at 12.45 p.m.

United Nations A/C.2/65/SR.32

General Assembly
Sixty-fifth session

Official Records

Distr.: General
17 January 2011

Original: English

Second Committee

Summary record of the 32nd meeting
Held at Headquarters, New York, on Tuesday, 30 November 2010, at 10 a.m.

Chairperson: Mr. Lundberg (Vice-Chairperson) ..... (Finland)

Contents

Agenda item 18: Macroeconomic policy questions (*continued*)

(c) External debt sustainability and development (*continued*)

Agenda item 19: Follow-up to and implementation of the outcome of the 2002 International Conference on Financing for Development and the 2008 Review Conference (*continued*)

Agenda item 20: Sustainable development (*continued*)

(b) Follow-up to and implementation of the Mauritius Strategy for the Further Implementation of the Programme of Action for the Sustainable Development of Small Island Developing States (*continued*)

(c) International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (*continued*)

Agenda item 21: Implementation of the outcome of the United Nations Conference on Human Settlements (Habitat II) and strengthening of the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat) (*continued*)

Agenda item 26: Agriculture development and food security (*continued*)

This record is subject to correction. Corrections should be sent under the signature of a member of the delegation concerned *within one week of the date of publication* to the Chief of the Official Records Editing Section, room DC2-750, 2 United Nations Plaza, and incorporated in a copy of the record.

Corrections will be issued after the end of the session, in a separate corrigendum for each Committee.

10-65883 (E)

Please recycle

In the absence of Ms. Ochir (Mongolia), Mr. Lundberg (Finland), Vice-Chairperson, took the Chair.

The meeting was called to order at 10.15 a.m.

Agenda item 18: Macroeconomic policy questions (*continued*)

(c) External debt sustainability and development (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.6 and L.59)

Draft resolutions on external debt sustainability and development (A/C.2/65/L.6 and L.59)

1. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.59, which he was submitting in his capacity as Vice-Chairperson of the Committee on the basis of informal consultations on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.6. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications.

2. **Mr. Landveld** (Suriname) announced minor drafting changes in the thirteenth and seventeenth preambular paragraphs of the draft resolution.

3. **Ms. Montel** (France) questioned the rendering of the expression "debt sustainability" in the French title of the draft resolution.

4. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.59, as orally revised, was adopted.*

5. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.6 was withdrawn.*

Agenda item 19: Follow-up to and implementation of the outcome of the 2002 International Conference on Financing for Development and the 2008 Review Conference (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.7, L.37, L.53 and L.57)

Draft resolutions on the follow-up to the International Conference on Financing for Development (A/C.2/65/L.7 and L.53)

6. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.53, which he was submitting in his capacity as Vice-Chairperson of the Committee on the basis of informal consultations on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.7. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications.

7. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.53 was adopted.*

8. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.7 was withdrawn.*

Draft resolutions on innovative mechanisms of financing for development (A/C.2/65/L.37 and L.57)

9. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.57, which he was submitting in his capacity as Vice-Chairperson of the Committee on the basis of informal consultations on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.37. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications.

10. **Ms. Montel** (France) drew attention to some inconsistency in the rendering of the English word "innovative" in the French text of the draft resolution.

11. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.57 was adopted.*

12. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.37 was withdrawn.*

Agenda item 20: Sustainable development (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.42 and L.70)

Draft resolutions on the International Year for Sustainable Energy for All (A/C.2/65/L.42 and L.70)

13. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.70, which was being submitted by Ms. Würtz (Hungary), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.42. He took it that the Committee was prepared to waive the 24-hour provision under rule 120 of the rules of procedure.

14. **Ms. de Laurentis** (Secretary of the Committee), speaking on the financial implications of draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.70 and referring to paragraphs 1 and 3 thereof and paragraphs 13, 14 and 21 of the annex to Economic and Social Council resolution 1980/67, said that the Secretary-General would organize and finance the activities connected with the international year in principle through voluntary contributions, and expenditures incurred in celebrating the international year would be met from existing resources in the regular budget. Furthermore, it was the understanding of the Secretariat that conference services for meetings and documentation related to the celebration would be provided on an "if available" basis. Therefore, should the draft resolution be adopted, no additional requirements would arise under the programme budget for the biennium 2010-2011.

15. **Ms. Delieux** (Belgium), speaking on behalf of the European Union in explanation of position, said that the European Union was pleased to join the consensus

on the draft resolution. However, it was the European Union's understanding that while implementing the resolution, the Secretary-General would be mindful of the annex to Economic and Social Council resolution 1980/67, in particular paragraph 21 thereof, which stated that expenditures should normally be met from existing resources in the regular budget. The Secretary-General therefore should not seek any extrabudgetary resources to fund the international year.

16. **Mr. Puri** (India) said that the celebration of 2012 as the "International Year for Sustainable Energy for All" should foster global policy campaigns and actions in favour of economically viable, sustainable, affordable and efficient access to energy for all. However, that would require a transformational shift in the global energy system, transfer of technology and financial support to developing countries, and a fundamental behavioural shift in energy consumption. His delegation commended UN Energy and the United Nations Industrial Development Organization for their efforts to promote access to energy and energy efficiency worldwide.

17. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.70 was adopted.*

18. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.42 was withdrawn.*

(b) Follow-up to and implementation of the Mauritius Strategy for the Further Implementation of the Programme of Action for the Sustainable Development of Small Island Developing States (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.40 and L.60)

Draft resolutions on the follow-up to and implementation of the Mauritius Strategy for the Further Implementation of the Programme of Action for the Sustainable Development of Small Island Developing States (A/C.2/65/L.40 and L.60)

19. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.60, which was being submitted by Ms. Würtz (Hungary), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations on draft resolution A/C.2/64/L.40. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications. He took it that the Committee was prepared to waive the 24-hour provision under rule 120 of the rules of procedure.

20. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.60 was adopted.*

21. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.40 was withdrawn.*

(c) International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.27 and L.58)

Draft resolutions on the International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (A/C.2/65/L.27 and L.58)

22. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.58, which was being submitted by Ms. Würtz (Hungary), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.27.

23. **Ms. de Laurentis** (Secretary of the Committee), speaking on the financial implications of draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.58 and referring to paragraph 15 thereof, said that the Secretary-General would consider how best to support the implementation of the natural disaster reduction strategy in the light of the important role played by the International Strategy for Disaster Reduction secretariat with a view to ensuring adequate resources for the operation of the secretariat and bringing the outcome of such consideration and assessment to the attention of the General Assembly for such action as might be necessary. Accordingly, should the General Assembly adopt the draft resolution, no additional requirements would arise under the programme budget for the biennium 2010-2011.

24. She also drew attention to the provisions of section VI of General Assembly resolution 45/248 B of 21 December 1990, in which the Assembly had reaffirmed that the Fifth Committee was the appropriate Main Committee of the Assembly entrusted with responsibilities for administrative and budgetary matters and had reaffirmed also the role of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions.

25. **Ms. Würtz** (Hungary) said that the last segment of paragraph 19 of draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.58 should read: "through the implementation of the International Strategy for Disaster Reduction, including the Hyogo Framework for Action 2005-2015, and encourages the institutional arrangements for the Strategy to continue its work in this regard".

26. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.58, as orally revised, was adopted.*

27. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.27 was withdrawn.*

28. **Ms. Gadkowski** (United States of America), in explanation of position, said that her country remained

a committed partner in working with Member States and the United Nations to support the mission of the International Strategy for Disaster Reduction and the work of its secretariat in helping to reduce and, in some cases, eliminate the human tragedy wrought by natural disasters. Although her country recognized the need for sufficient funding so that the secretariat could successfully carry out its mandate, it believed any request for funding should be considered in the context of the existing allocation of resources for natural disaster reduction in the programme budget for the biennium 2010-2011.

Agenda item 21: Implementation of the outcome of the United Nations Conference on Human Settlements (Habitat II) and strengthening of the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat) (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.36 and L.63)

Draft resolutions on implementation of the outcome of the United Nations Conference on Human Settlements (Habitat II) and strengthening of the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat) (A/C.2/65/L.36 and L.63)

29. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.63, which was being submitted by Ms. Würtz (Hungary), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations on draft resolution A/C.2/64/L.36. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications. He took it that the Committee was prepared to waive the 24-hour rule under rule 120 of the rules of procedure.

30. **Ms. Würtz** (Hungary), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, said that in paragraph 2, the word "noting" should be replaced by "notes".

31. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.63, as orally corrected, was adopted.*

32. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.36 was withdrawn.*

Agenda item 26: Agriculture development and food security (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.16)

Draft resolution on the International Year of Quinoa, 2012 (A/C.2/65/L.16)

33. **Mr. Loayza Barea** (Plurinational State of Bolivia), speaking as the main sponsor of the draft resolution, said that as the draft resolution would continue to be discussed in 2011 during the current session, his delegation requested that the agenda item on agriculture development and food security should remain open.

34. **The Chairperson** took it that the Committee therefore agreed not to take any action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.16.

35. *It was so decided.*

The meeting rose at 11 a.m.

United Nations A/C.2/65/SR.33

General Assembly
Sixty-fifth session

Official Records

Distr.: General
26 January 2011

Original: English

Second Committee

Summary record of the 33rd meeting
Held at Headquarters, New York, on Wednesday, 1 December 2010, at 3 p.m.

Chair: Ms. Ochir ..... (Mongolia)

Contents

Agenda item 20: Sustainable development (*continued*)

(a) Implementation of Agenda 21, the Programme for the Further Implementation of Agenda 21 and the outcomes of the World Summit on Sustainable Development (*continued*)

(e) Implementation of the United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification in Those Countries Experiencing Serious Drought and/or Desertification, Particularly in Africa (*continued*)

(f) Convention on Biological Diversity (*continued*)

(g) Report of the Governing Council of the United Nations Environment Programme on its eleventh special session (*continued*)

(i) Harmony with Nature (*continued*)

Agenda item 22: Globalization and interdependence (*continued*)

(a) Role of the United Nations in promoting development in the context of globalization and interdependence (*continued*)

(b) Preventing and combating corrupt practices and transfer of assets of illicit origin and returning such assets, in particular to the countries of origin, consistent with the United Nations Convention against Corruption (*continued*)

(c) International migration and development (*continued*)

This record is subject to correction. Corrections should be sent under the signature of a member of the delegation concerned *within one week of the date of publication* to the Chief of the Official Records Editing Section, room DC2-750, 2 United Nations Plaza, and incorporated in a copy of the record.

Corrections will be issued after the end of the session, in a separate corrigendum for each Committee.

10-66010 (E)

Please recycle

Agenda item 24: Eradication of poverty and other development issues (*continued*)

(a) Implementation of the Second United Nations Decade for the Eradication of Poverty (2008-2017) (*continued*)

(b) Industrial development cooperation (*continued*)

Agenda item 26: Agriculture development and food security (*continued*)

Agenda item 118: Revitalization of the work of the General Assembly (*continued*)

Agenda item 130: Programme planning (*continued*)

Completion of the main part of the Committee's work

The meeting was called to order at 3.30 p.m.

Agenda item 20: Sustainable development (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.32/Rev.1)

Draft resolution entitled "Cooperative measures to assess and increase awareness of environmental effects related to waste originating from chemical munitions dumped at sea" (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.32/Rev.1)

1. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.32/Rev.1, which was being submitted by Mr. Čekuolis (Lithuania), on behalf of the original sponsors listed in the document.

2. **Ms. de Laurentis** (Secretary of the Committee) informed the Committee that Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Georgia, Iceland, Iraq, Montenegro, Norway, the Republic of Moldova, Serbia and the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia had joined the sponsors

3. She read out an oral statement on programme budget implications prepared by the Secretariat in connection with the draft resolution now before the Committee. The statement was also circulated to the members of the Committee at the meeting.

4. She noted that the Secretary-General had yet to seek the views of Member States and relevant regional and international organizations for circulation to the General Assembly at its sixty-eighth session, as specified in paragraph 3 of the draft resolution. It was therefore not possible at that time to estimate the volume of documentation that would need to be translated and submitted to the General Assembly. Accordingly, the full potential financial implications related to documentation requirements could be determined only after the views of Member States and relevant regional and international organizations had been obtained. The Secretary-General would submit such requirements, if any, in accordance with established procedures, at that time.

5. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.32/Rev.1 was adopted.*

6. **Mr. Čekuolis** (Lithuania) said that the resolution reflected the readiness of the United Nations to address new subjects emerging on its radar. He encouraged Member States to share their experiences, information and best practices on the matter and looked forward to discussions at the next session.

(a) Implementation of Agenda 21, the Programme for the Further Implementation of Agenda 21 and the outcomes of the World Summit on Sustainable Development (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.18, L.24/Rev.1, L.25/Rev.1 and L.52)

Draft resolution on follow-up of the International Year of Sanitation, 2008 (A/C.2/65/L.24/Rev.1)

7. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.24/Rev.1, which was being submitted by Mr. Harris (Panama), on behalf of the original sponsors listed in the document. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications.

8. **Mr. Harris** (Panama), speaking on behalf of the original sponsors of the draft resolution, said that Australia, Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Liberia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Monaco, Morocco, the Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Thailand, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America also wished to join the list of sponsors.

9. Safe sanitation was a fundamental, moral and urgent matter, and extending it to all should be a key development priority. At the current pace, however, internationally agreed sanitation targets would not be met until 2032, which was unacceptable. Colossal efforts were thus needed, including through Member States' support to the "five-year drive to 2015" for sustainable sanitation. He hoped the draft resolution would be adopted by consensus.

10. **Ms. de Laurentis** (Secretary of the Committee) informed the Committee that Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Micronesia (Federated States of), Montenegro, Republic of Korea, Republic of Moldova, Serbia and the United Republic of Tanzania also wished to sponsor the draft resolution.

11. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.24/Rev.1 was adopted.*

12. **Mr. Solón** (Plurinational State of Bolivia) said that his Government attached great importance to the issue of water and sanitation, which was evident through domestic discussions it had conducted on the matter as well as through its active participation in the context of the United Nations. Water and sanitation

were an interconnected and key factor to development and a prerequisite to achieving the Millennium Development Goals. They were also an important factor in fully achieving other rights and reducing child mortality. Sanitation, in particular, was fundamental to human dignity and set out as a human right under General Assembly resolution 64/292, which regrettably had not been cited in the resolution that had just been adopted. His Government would continue to work in all forums to ensure that sanitation was addressed as a human right.

Draft resolution entitled "International Year of Water Cooperation, 2013" (A/C.2/65/L.25/Rev.1)

13. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.25/Rev.1, which was being submitted by Mr. Noziri (Tajikistan), on behalf of the original sponsors listed in the document. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications.

14. **Mr. Noziri** (Tajikistan) informed the Committee that Armenia, Australia, Chile, Honduras, Iraq, Nepal, the Russian Federation and Thailand wished to join the list of sponsors.

15. **Ms. de Laurentis** (Secretary of the Committee) said that Bolivia (Plurinational State of), Costa Rica and Mongolia also wished to join the list of sponsors.

16. **Mr. Khodjaev** (Uzbekistan) said that his Government did not support the text of the draft resolution because it did not take into consideration all of the amendments and proposals reflecting the Uzbek position. Rather than break the consensus, his delegation would abstain from taking action on the draft resolution and would not be bound by any obligation arising from the adopted text.

17. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.25/Rev.1 was adopted.*

18. **Ms. Delieux** (Belgium), speaking on behalf of the European Union, said that the Union had been pleased to join the consensus on the draft resolution, on the understanding that the Secretary-General would bear in mind the annex to Economic and Social Council resolution 1980/67, particularly paragraph 21, which stated that "expenditures should normally be met from existing resources in the regular budget". It was thus the understanding of the European Union that the Secretary-General would not seek extrabudgetary resources to fund the International Year of Water Cooperation.

19. **Ms. Navarro Barro** (Cuba) proposed a minor drafting change to the Spanish version of the resolution.

20. **The Chairperson** requested the Secretariat to take due note of that change.

Draft resolutions on implementation of Agenda 21, the Programme for the Further Implementation of Agenda 21 and the outcomes of the World Summit on Sustainable Development (continued) (A/C.2/65/L.18, L.52 and L.66)

21. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.52, which was being submitted by Ms. Würtz (Hungary), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations held on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.18. An oral statement of programme budget implications had been prepared by the Secretariat in connection with the draft resolution.

22. **Ms. de Laurentis** (Secretary of the Committee) said that the full text of the oral statement on programme budget implications had been circulated to Committee members and could also be obtained from the Second Committee secretariat. She drew Committee members' attention to the requests made to the General Assembly contained in paragraphs 18, 19 and 20 of the draft resolution.

23. For the biennium 2010-2011, an additional amount of $208,200 to service intersessional meetings in January and November 2011 would arise from the adoption of the draft resolution. The Secretariat had sought to identify areas from which resources could be redeployed to meet the additional requirements relating to conference services and other conference support services and concluded that the requirements could be accommodated by using resources allotted for servicing the General Assembly, provided that the Assembly did not meet in parallel at the same time. The requirements for documentation services would be absorbed through redeployment by using contractual translation to the most feasible extent possible, rather than in-house translation, for processing the pre-session document.

24. Accordingly, should the General Assembly adopt the draft resolution, no additional requirements would

arise for the programme budget for the biennium 2010-2011 as a result of the proposed absorption.

25. As concerned the additional resource requirements for the biennium 2012-2013, the requirements currently known related to the third intersessional meeting and the third and final meeting of the Preparatory Committee for the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development, totalling $145,000, including $112,500 under section 2, General Assembly and Economic and Social Council affairs and conference management, and $32,500 under section 28D, Office of Central Support Services, would be dealt with in the context of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 2012-2013.

26. The requirements related to servicing the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development would be reported by the Secretary-General through established procedures, once the dates and modalities of the Conference were decided by the General Assembly.

27. At the same time, it should be noted that in accordance with resolution 40/243, the meetings of the Conference, including meetings of the Preparatory Committee, should be held in New York, the established headquarters of the body. However, United Nations bodies were permitted to hold sessions away from their established headquarters when a Government issuing an invitation to hold the meetings agreed to defray the actual additional costs directly or indirectly involved. In that connection, it was expected that the Government of Brazil would reimburse the United Nations the actual additional costs as a result of changing the venue from New York to a location in Brazil.

28. **The Chairperson** said that in the light of the oral statement, document A/C.2/65/L.66, containing the statement of programme budget implications for draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.52, was withdrawn.

29. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.52 was adopted.*

30. **Mr. Al-Aud** (Yemen), speaking on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, drew attention to paragraph 24 of resolution A/C.2/65/L.52, calling on donors to provide contributions to the Commission on Sustainable Development trust fund, in order to ensure the participation of representatives from developing countries in the preparatory process of the 2012 United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development, and to the agreement to give priority to covering their travel expenses. The Group of 77 and China remained deeply concerned by the lack of funds to finance the participation of at least one representative from each developing country in all the meetings of the preparatory process. Indeed, on the eve of the first intersessional meeting, there were no resources available for that purpose. Accordingly, it was hoped that contributions would be made to the trust fund, in order to enable the full and effective participation of all developing countries in the process.

31. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.18 was withdrawn.*

(e) Implementation of the United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification in Those Countries Experiencing Serious Drought and/or Desertification, Particularly in Africa (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.21, L.62 and L.65)

Draft resolutions on the implementation of the United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification in those countries experiencing serious drought and/or desertification, particularly in Africa (A/C.2/65/L.21, L.62 and L.65)

32. **The Chairperson** said she took it that the Committee wished to waive the 24-hour requirement contained in rule 120 of the rules of procedure of the General Assembly in order to proceed with action on draft resolution A/C.6/57/L.65.

33. *It was so decided.*

34. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.65, which was being submitted by Ms. Würtz (Hungary), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations held on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.21. An oral statement of programme budget implications had been prepared by the Secretariat in connection with the draft resolution.

35. **Ms. de Laurentis** (Secretary of the Committee) read out an oral statement on programme budget implications which was being circulated to Committee members and could also be obtained from the Second Committee secretariat. She drew Committee members' attention to paragraphs 9, 10, 11, 11(a), (e) and (b) of the draft resolution, and noted that pursuant to paragraph 10, the general debate of the sixty-sixth session of the General Assembly would run for eight working days in September 2011 (21-23 and 26-30 September 2011), an

arrangement which should in no way create a precedent for the general debate at future sessions. In that respect, attention was drawn to paragraphs 1 and 2 of General Assembly resolution 57/301 regarding the dates for the opening and duration of the general debate.

36. The one-day high-level meeting to be held on 20 September 2011, comprising an open plenary meeting, morning and afternoon interactive panels and a closing plenary would use the entitlement of the General Assembly for two meetings from 10 a.m. to 1 p.m. and from 3 p.m. to 6 p.m., with interpretation services in all six official languages and verbatim records for the plenary portion of the high-level meeting, provided that there were no parallel meetings of the General Assembly during the same time period. Therefore, no additional resources would be required to service the high-level meeting.

37. It was estimated that documentation services would be required to translate two documents totalling 9,500 words into all six official languages. Although resources amounting to $59,100 would be required under section 2, General Assembly and Economic and Social Council affairs and conference management to process those documents, the Secretariat would seek to identify areas from which resources could be redeployed to meet the requirements within the provisions approved under section 2, General Assembly and Economic and Social Council affairs and conference management for the biennium 2010-2011.

38. Attention was also drawn to the provisions of section VI of General Assembly resolution 45/248 B, in which the Assembly reaffirmed that the Fifth Committee was the appropriate Main Committee of the Assembly entrusted with responsibilities for administrative and budgetary matters, and to paragraph 67 of the first report of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions on the proposed programme budget for the biennium 2000-2001, in which it noted that the use of the phrase "within existing resources" or similar language in resolutions had a negative impact on the implementation of activities; therefore, every effort should be made to avoid the use of that phrase in resolutions and decisions.

39. **Ms. Würtz** (Hungary), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, introduced minor editorial corrections to the draft text.

40. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.65, as orally corrected, was adopted.*

41. **Ms. Würtz** (Hungary), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, correcting a statement she had made on a previous occasion in relation to the Agenda 21 resolution, said that the coordinator of the Group of 77 had been Ms. Leiva Roesch of the delegation of Guatemala.

42. **Mr. Al-Aud** (Yemen), speaking on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, reiterated the Group's concern regarding the inclusion in the draft resolution of the phrase "within existing resources", as that practice violated General Assembly resolutions 41/213, 42/211 and 45/248 B, which clearly stated that the Fifth Committee was the appropriate Main Committee entrusted with responsibilities for administrative and budgetary matters. Moreover, developed countries' insistence on the use of that phrase, particularly when addressing development-related events of utmost importance to the Group, was regrettable and demonstrated a lack of political will and commitment concerning the allocation of appropriate resources for those activities. He hoped such a debate would not be repeated in the Second Committee in future.

43. **Ms. Delieux** (Belgium), speaking on behalf of the European Union, said that the European Union had been pleased to join the consensus on the draft resolution. That reflected its political will and commitment vis-à-vis the implementation of the Convention and thus the organization of a high-level meeting to be held in September 2011, as set out in the resolution.

44. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.21 and draft document A/C.2/65/L.62 were withdrawn.*

45. **The Chairperson** said that in the light of the oral statement on programme budget implications for draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.65, document A/C.2/65/L.62 was withdrawn.

(f) Convention on Biological Diversity (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.39 and L.67)

Draft resolutions on the Convention on Biological Diversity (A/C.2/65/L.39 and L.67)

46. **The Chairperson** said she took it that the Committee wished to waive the 24-hour requirement contained in rule 120 of the rules of procedure of the

General Assembly in order to proceed with action on draft resolution A/C.6/57/L.67.

47. *It was so decided.*

48. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.67, which was being submitted by Ms. Würtz (Hungary), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations held on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.39. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications.

49. **Ms. Würtz** (Hungary), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, introduced minor editorial corrections to the draft resolution.

50. **Ms. Thuaudet** (France) proposed minor drafting changes to the French version of the draft resolution.

51. **Mr. Rengifo** (Colombia) proposed minor drafting changes to the Spanish version of the draft resolution.

52. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.67, as orally corrected, was adopted.*

53. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.39 was withdrawn.*

(g) Report of the Governing Council of the United Nations Environment Programme on its eleventh special session (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.43 and L.71)

Draft resolutions on the report of the Governing Council of the United Nations Environment Programme on its eleventh special session (A/C.2/65/L.43 and L.71)

54. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.71, which was being submitted by Ms. Würtz (Hungary), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations held on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.43. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications.

55. **Ms. Würtz** (Hungary), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, said that in paragraph 8, the phrase "and as a contribution to the second meeting of the Preparatory Committee for the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development" should be deleted, and "in anticipation of the Governing Council's contribution to the second preparatory meeting of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development" should be added at the end of the paragraph. In paragraph 9, the phrase "and takes note of" should be replaced with "to support". In paragraph 17, "Programme and External Commission" should be replaced by "Executive Board". In that same paragraph, "Executive Board" should be deleted before "document 185 EX/43".

56. **Ms. Thuaudet** (France) said that in paragraph 17, the French equivalent for "intergovernmental science-policy platform on biodiversity and ecosystem services" should be "la plateforme intergouvernementale scientifique et politique sur la biodiversité et les services écosystémiques", as in previous documents. The term "determine" should have been translated as "décider de" rather than "examiner". Because the paragraph had been the subject of complex discussions, the French version must reflect the English original.

57. **Mr. Laguna Celis** (Mexico) said that in paragraph 17, the Spanish translation of "intergovernmental science-policy platform on biodiversity and ecosystem services" should match the language used in document A/65/383.

58. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.71, as orally corrected, was adopted.*

59. **Mr. de Bassompierre** (Belgium), speaking on behalf of the European Union, welcomed, in particular, the draft resolution's endorsement of the establishment of an intergovernmental science-policy platform on biodiversity and ecosystem services. The European Union now looked forward to the full operationalization of the platform through the convening of a plenary meeting providing for the full and effective participation of all Member States, in particular representatives from developing countries, to determine the modalities and institutional arrangements at the earliest opportunity. The resolution was a major step towards converting emerging scientific knowledge into specific policy action at the appropriate levels, reflecting the interests of developed and developing countries alike.

60. **Ms. Gadkowski** (United States of America) said that an endorsement of an intergovernmental platform on biodiversity and ecosystem services had been a prominent priority for her delegation, and would have deserved a resolution of its own. However, her delegation welcomed the reference to the platform in the current draft resolution, which clearly endorsed the establishment of the platform and provided guidance on the following steps in accordance with the Busan

outcome. The principles contained in the Busan outcome were the best guidance for the platform, which would be first and foremost a scientific entity under the direction of experts. In order to be credible, it must adhere to basic scientific tenets as set forth in the outcome. Relevant international organizations including, in particular, the United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP), the United Nations Development Programme, the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and the United Nations Educational, Social and Cultural Organization, should all be involved in developing the platform. Her delegation would welcome broad participation at the governmental meeting to determine modalities and institutional arrangements. It should be clearly understood that participation could not be financed from the UNEP Environment Fund.

61. **Mr. Rossi** (Switzerland) said that the intergovernmental platform had been among the most important items for his delegation. The reference to the Busan outcome, which Switzerland fully supported, was particularly pertinent; the importance of establishing an intergovernmental platform had been reaffirmed at the tenth meeting of the Conference of Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity, held in October in Nagoya. His delegation encouraged UNEP to take prompt measures towards that end.

62. **Ms. Ntyam-Ehya** (Gabon) said that her delegation welcomed the strengthening of the mandate of UNEP. The establishment of the intergovernmental platform had received strong support at the Pan-African Conference on Biodiversity, held in Libreville in September. A pan-African committee on the intergovernmental platform would be established in order to guide subregional biodiversity policies and foster development. Her delegation encouraged UNEP to prepare the terms of reference for consultations as soon as possible, and to keep subregional organizations informed.

63. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.43 was withdrawn.*

(i) Harmony with nature (*continued*)
(A/C.2/65/L.30/Rev.1)

Draft resolution on harmony with nature
(A/C.2/65/L.30/Rev.1)

64. **Ms. de Laurentis** (Secretary of the Committee) said that several of the preambular paragraphs in the text before the Committee did not reflect the agreement reached in informal consultations. An informal paper in English only, reflecting the draft resolution in its entirety, was currently being distributed. The text would be reproduced in all official languages in the report to be submitted to the General Assembly for adoption.

65. Paragraph 2 provided that International Mother Earth Day would be commemorated on 22 April 2011. Because that day would be a holiday at United Nations Headquarters, the date should be corrected to 26 April 2011.

66. **Mr. Solón** (Plurinational State of Bolivia) said that Eritrea, Iran (Islamic Republic of) and Peru had joined the sponsors.

67. **Ms. de Laurentis** (Secretary of the Committee) said that Algeria, Mexico, Micronesia (Federated States of), Serbia, the Solomon Islands, Saint Lucia and Sri Lanka had also become sponsors.

68. Reading out an oral statement of programme budget implications, she said that paragraph 2 provided for the convening of an interactive dialogue. On the understanding that the event would take place on 26 April 2011, it would use the entitlements of the General Assembly for two meetings from 10 a.m. to 1 p.m. and from 3 p.m. to 6 p.m. on that date, including interpretation services in all official languages and verbatim records for the plenary portion of the commemorative meeting, provided that no parallel meetings of the General Assembly would be held at the same time period.

69. Documentation services would be required for the translation of two documents at a total of 5,000 words into all official languages. Although resources amounting to $30,600 would be required for that purpose under section 2, General Assembly and Economic and Social Council Affairs and Conference Management, the Secretariat would seek to identify areas from which resources could be redeployed to meet the requirements within the provisions approved under section 2 of the programme budget for the biennium 2010-2011. Should the draft resolution be adopted, no additional programme budget implications would arise for the biennium 2010-2011.

70. **Ms. Prorok** (Ukraine) said that it had already been agreed that a commemoration of the Chernobyl disaster would be held on 26 April 2011. She asked whether consideration of the draft resolution could be

postponed in order to agree on a different date for International Mother Earth Day.

71. **Mr. Solón** (Plurinational State of Bolivia) said that it could be left to the Secretariat to determine a suitable date around 22 April 2010.

72. **The Chairperson** suggested that the draft resolution could be adopted on the understanding that the Secretariat would hold consultations with the sponsors, before the consideration of the draft resolution by the Assembly, in order to agree on a suitable date for the commemoration.

73. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.30/Rev.1, as orally corrected, was adopted.*

Agenda item 22: Globalization and interdependence (*continued*)

(a) Role of the United Nations in promoting development in the context of globalization and interdependence (*continued*) (A/65/272, A/C.2/65/L.15/Rev.1)

Draft resolution on the role of the United Nations in promoting development in the context of globalization and interdependence (A/C.2/65/L.15/Rev.1)

74. **The Chairperson** said she took it that the Committee wished to waive the 24-hour requirement contained in rule 120 of the rules of procedure of the General Assembly in order to proceed with action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.15/Rev.1.

75. *It was so decided.*

76. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.15/Rev.1, which had no programme budget implications.

77. **Ms. de Laurentis** (Secretary of the Committee) said that the heading of the draft resolution, which currently read "Yemen: revised draft resolution", should be corrected to read "Draft resolution submitted by Mr. Jean Claudy Pierre (Haiti), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations held on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.15".

78. **Ms. Morizet** (France) said that the word "actuelle" should be omitted from the French text of paragraph 7. The French translations of the phrase "sustained, inclusive and equitable economic growth" in paragraphs 9 and 11 should be harmonized.

79. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.15/Rev.1, as orally corrected, was adopted.*

Report of the Secretary-General concerning an overview of the major international economic and policy challenges for equitable and inclusive sustained economic growth and sustainable development, and of the role of the United Nations in addressing these issues in the light of the New International Economic Order (A/65/272)

80. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take note of the report.

81. *It was so decided.*

(b) Preventing and combating corrupt practices and transfer of assets of illicit origin and returning such assets, in particular to the countries of origin, consistent with the United Nations Convention against Corruption (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.12 and L.68*)

Draft resolutions entitled "Preventing and combating corrupt practices and transfer of assets of illicit origin and returning such assets, in particular to the countries of origin, consistent with the United Nations Convention against Corruption" (A/C.2/65/L.12 and L.68*)

82. **The Chairperson** said she took it that the Committee wished to waive the 24-hour requirement contained in rule 120 of the rules of procedure of the General Assembly in order to proceed with action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.68*.

83. *It was so decided.*

84. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.68*, which had been submitted by Mr. Pierre (Haiti), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations held on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.12. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications.

85. **Mr. Pierre** (Haiti) introduced a minor editorial correction to the draft resolution.

86. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.68*, as orally corrected, was adopted.*

87. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.12 was withdrawn.*

(c) International migration and development (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.23 and L.69)

Draft resolutions on international migration and development (A/C.2/65/L.23 and L.69)

88. **The Chairperson** said she took it that the Committee wished to waive the 24-hour requirement contained in rule 120 of the rules of procedure of the General Assembly in order to proceed with action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.69.

89. *It was so decided.*

90. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.69, which had been submitted by Mr. Pierre (Haiti), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, on the basis of consultations held on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.23. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications.

91. **Mr. Pierre** (Haiti) introduced a minor editorial correction to the draft resolution.

92. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.69, as orally corrected, was adopted.*

93. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.13 was withdrawn.*

Agenda item 24: Eradication of poverty and other development issues (*continued*)

(a) Implementation of the Second United Nations Decade for the Eradication of Poverty (2008-2017) (*continued*) (A/65/267, A/C.2/65/L.38 and L.64)

Draft resolutions on the Second United Nations Decade for the Eradication of Poverty (2008-2017) (A/C.2/65/L.38 and A/C.2/65/L.64)

94. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.64, which had been submitted by Mr. Empole (Democratic Republic of the Congo), Rapporteur of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations held on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.38. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications.

95. **Mr. Empole** (Democratic Republic of the Congo), Rapporteur of the Committee, introduced some minor editorial corrections.

96. **Mr. Lakhal** (Tunisia) proposed minor editorial corrections to the French and Arabic texts.

97. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.64, as orally corrected, was adopted.*

98. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.38 was withdrawn.*

Report of the Secretary-General on the role of microcredit and microfinance in the eradication of poverty (A/65/267)

99. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take note of the report.

100. *It was so decided.*

(b) Industrial development cooperation (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.11 and L.45/Rev.1)

Draft resolutions on industrial development cooperation (A/C.2/65/L.11 and L.45/Rev.1)

101. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.45/Rev.1, which had been submitted by Mr. Empole (Democratic Republic of the Congo), Rapporteur of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations held on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.11. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications.

102. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.45/Rev.1 was adopted.*

103. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.11 was withdrawn.*

Agenda item 26: Agriculture development and food security (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.29 and L.61)

Draft resolutions on agriculture development and food security (A/C.2/65/L.29 and L.61)

104. **The Chairperson** invited the Committee to take action on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.61, submitted by Mr. Lundberg (Finland), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, on the basis of informal consultations held on draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.29. The draft resolution had no programme budget implications.

105. **Mr. Lundberg** (Finland), Vice-Chairperson of the Committee, and **Ms. Morizet** (France) made minor drafting changes to the draft resolution.

106. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.61 was adopted as orally corrected.*

107. *Draft resolution A/C.2/65/L.29 was withdrawn.*

Agenda item 118: Revitalization of the work of the General Assembly (*continued*) (A/C.2/65/L.72)

Draft programme of work of the Second Committee for the sixty-sixth session of the General Assembly

108. **The Chairperson** drew attention to the Committee's draft programme of work for the sixty-sixth session of the General Assembly (A/C.2/65/L.72).

109. *The draft programme of work of the Second Committee for the sixty-sixth session of the General Assembly was adopted.*

Draft decision entitled "Improving the working methods of the Second Committee"

110. **The Chairperson** drew attention to the advance unedited English version of a draft decision entitled "Improving the working methods of the Second Committee". At its 7th meeting, held on 8 October, the Committee had discussed a non-paper on that subject submitted by the Bureau. The main purpose of the draft decision was to enhance the working methods of the Second Committee in order to strengthen it and make it more efficient and effective. Noting that the draft decision contained no programme budget implications, she invited the Committee to take action on it.

111. *The draft decision was adopted.*

112. **Mr. de Bassompierre** (Belgium), speaking on behalf of the European Union; the candidate countries Croatia, Iceland, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia and Turkey; the stabilization and association process countries Albania, Montenegro and Serbia; and, in addition, Georgia, the Republic of Moldova and Ukraine, said that the European Union welcomed the special attention given to the improvement of the working methods of the Committee. The measures to improve practices for the submission of draft proposals and to allow for a more efficient use of the Committee's time would enhance the quality of its work. Further efforts were needed to enhance the relevance of the Committee's agenda. He expressed the hope that the next Bureau of the Committee would consider incorporating existing General Assembly mandates for keeping the agenda up to date, along with other related matters raised in the Chairperson's non-paper distributed earlier in the session.

Agenda item 130: Programme planning (*continued*)

113. **The Chairperson** informed the Committee that she had been advised by the Secretariat that there were no matters requiring the Committee's attention or action under that agenda item. She took it that the Committee decided that no action was required under that agenda item.

114. *It was so decided.*

Completion of the main part of the Committee's work

115. **The Chairperson**, in her closing remarks, thanked all delegations, the Vice-Chairpersons, the Rapporteur, the facilitators of the draft proposals and the members of the Secretariat, among others.

116. The Committee had had a productive session and had fulfilled its duty of taking the first steps towards the effective implementation of the outcome of the summit on Millennium Development Goals and other high-level events that year. The fulfilment of the United Nations development agenda rested on the effectiveness and efficiency of the Committee's work. She thanked all delegations for demonstrating the Committee's ability to follow a schedule, meet deadlines and carry out its duties in good time. More importantly, the Committee had fulfilled its purpose of promoting productive dialogue and serving as an incubator of ideas that helped to inform international development policy. She noted the particular value of the side events organized by the Committee, which brought the Committee into contact with cutting-edge thinking from outside of the United Nations and allowed for new or controversial issues to be addressed in an open and interactive format.

117. **Mr. Al-Aud** (Yemen), speaking on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, conveyed their appreciation to the Chairperson, the members of the Bureau and the Secretariat, and the negotiators for their efforts and the successful completion of the session. He also thanked the members of the secretariat of the Group of 77 and China for their efforts.

The meeting rose at 6 p.m.